RM A53K20



RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

INVESTIGATION OF A TRAILING-EDGE PADDLE-CONTROL SURFACE

ON A TRIANGULAR WING OF ASPECT RATIO 2 AT

SUBSONIC AND SUPERSONIC SPEEDS

By Louis H. Ball

Ames Aeronautical Laboratory Moffett Field, Calif.

CLASSIFICATION CHANGED

UNCLASSIFIED

NACA Revalue

Ry authority of 4PN-122

Amt 12-19-57

NATIONAL ADVISORY CO. COP FOR AERONAUTICS

WASHINGTON

February 2, 1954

1954 FEB 5

LINGSEY AERONAUTICAL LABORA SERY

NACA RM A53K20



NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

INVESTIGATION OF A TRAILING-EDGE PADDLE-CONTROL SURFACE

ON A TRIANGULAR WING OF ASPECT RATIO 2 AT

SUBSONIC AND SUPERSONIC SPEEDS

By Louis H. Ball

SUMMARY

Presented herein are the results of an experimental investigation of external airfoils, known as paddle-control surfaces, as the longitudinal control device on a triangular wing of aspect ratio 2. The lift, drag, pitching moment, and hinge moment were obtained for Mach numbers of 0.60, 0.80, 0.90, 1.20, 1.30, 1.50, 1.70, and 1.90 at a constant Reynolds number of 3.0 \times 10^6 , for angles of attack from about -4° to 18° and for paddle-control deflections from approximately 4° to -16° .

Examination of the control-surface characteristics of the paddle control and comparison of the control-surface parameters with a conventional trailing-edge unbalanced flap having the same area revealed the following results:

No unusual variations were noted in the pitching-moment or hingemoment characteristics throughout the speed range tested. The pitching-moment effectiveness of the paddle control at subsonic speeds was considerably less than that of the unbalanced flap. At supersonic speeds, the pitching-moment effectiveness of the paddle control was less than that of the unbalanced flap at Mach numbers below 1.50; whereas, above a Mach number of 1.50, the effectiveness of the two types of controls corresponded closely. The results showed that material reductions in the hinge-moment parameters, $C_{h\delta}$ and $C_{h\alpha}$, were realized with the paddle control. There was little effect of Mach number on these hinge-moment parameters.

The use of the paddle control resulted in increases in the minimum drag coefficient throughout the speed range investigated.


INTRODUCTION

As part of a continuing experimental program to find methods to reduce the control moments of trailing-edge controls on high-speed aircraft, an external airfoil control surface was tested in the Ames 6-by 6-foot supersonic wind tunnel. Previous tests (ref. 1) have shown that the use of an external airfoil, called a paddle, as a balancing device in combination with a trailing-edge flap provided substantial reductions in the hinge moments due to control deflections at supersonic speeds. A study of these data indicated that such a paddle could be used as the primary longitudinal-control device and, by virtue of the interaction between the control and the wing, could be designed to have small hinge moments at both subsonic and supersonic speeds.

The present investigation was undertaken, therefore, to provide information on the control characteristics of the paddle control.

SYMBOLS

б	wing span, ft
с	local wing chord measured parallel to plane of symmetry, ft
c	wing mean aerodynamic chord, $\frac{\int_0^{b/2} c^2 dy}{\int_0^{b/2} c dy}$, ft drag coefficient, $\frac{drag}{dS}$
c_{D}	drag coefficient, drag qS
c_{D_O}	minimum drag coëfficient
$c_{\mathtt{h}}$	hinge-moment coefficient, hinge moment 2qMA
$\mathbf{c}_{\mathtt{L}}$	lift coefficient, lift qS
Cm	pitching-moment coefficient about the 35-percent point of the wing mean aerodynamic chord, pitching moment qSc
Cm8	control pitching-moment-effectiveness parameter for constant angle of attack, $\frac{\partial C_m}{\partial \delta}$, measured at $\delta = 0^{\circ}$, per deg
$\mathtt{C}_{\mathbf{L}_{\mathcal{S}}}$	control lift-effectiveness parameter for constant angle of attack, $\frac{\partial CL}{\partial s}$, measured at $\delta = 0^{\circ}$, per deg





- chs rate of change of hinge-moment coefficient with change in control deflection for constant angle of attack, $\frac{\partial C_h}{\partial \delta}$, measured at $\delta = 0^{\circ}$, per deg
- Ch_{α} rate of change of hinge-moment coefficient with change in angle of attack for constant angle of control deflection, $\frac{\partial Ch}{\partial \alpha}$, measured at $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$, per deg
- length of body including portion removed to accommodate sting, ft
- M Mach number
- MA first moment of area of exposed flap area aft of hinge line of the unbalanced flap, 1 ft3 (see ref. 1)
- q free-stream dynamic pressure, $\frac{\rho V^2}{2}$, lb/sq ft
- R Reynolds number, based on mean aerodynamic chord
- ro maximum body radius, ft
- S wing area, including area within body, sq ft
- V velocity of free stream, ft/sec
- x longitudinal distance from nose of body, ft
- y distance perpendicular to vertical plane of symmetry, ft
- a angle of attack of wing chord line, deg
- δ angle between wing chord and control chord measured in a plane perpendicular to the control hinge line, positive for downward deflection with respect to the wing, deg
- ρ mass density of air, slugs/cu ft

Subscript

n nominal control angle

In order that the hinge-moment coefficients of the paddle control and the unbalanced flap could be compared, the hinge-moment coefficients of the paddle control were computed using the moment of area of the unbalanced flap of reference 1.

APPARATUS AND MODEL

The Ames 6- by 6-foot supersonic wind tunnel in which this investigation was conducted is a closed-return, variable-pressure wind tunnel with a Mach number range from 0.60 to 0.90 and from 1.20 to 2.00. Further information on this wind tunnel can be found in reference 2.

The model consisted of a wing-fuselage combination employing a wing of triangular plan form of aspect ratio 2 symmetrically mounted on the fuselage. The wing had NACA 0005-63 airfoil sections in streamwise planes.

The paddle control consisted of two sharp-edge rectangular surfaces (fig. 1). One of the paddles was positioned above and the other was positioned below the trailing edge of the right wing by a pair of struts which attached the paddles rigidly together and positioned each paddle 1.30 inches from the chord plane of the wing. The struts were pivoted about an axis in the chord plane of the wing which corresponded to the 30-percent-chord line of the paddles as a means of obtaining various deflection angles. When the control was undeflected, the trailing edges of the two paddles were in the same plane as the wing trailing edge. The streamwise airfoil section of the paddles was a half circular arc with the convexity on the side opposite to the wing. The maximum thickness-chord ratio was approximately 5 percent at the 50-percent chord. The area of the two paddles combined equalled approximately 14 percent of the area of the right wing panel including that portion enclosed within the body.

The wing and paddle control were of solid steel construction. The body had a fineness ratio of 12.5 based on the length including that portion shown dotted in figure 1.

The forces and moments on the model were measured by an electrical strain-gage balance. Paddle-control hinge moments were measured by an electrical strain gage mounted within the wing.

TEST AND PROCEDURE

The aerodynamic characteristics of the model as a function of angle of attack were investigated for a range of Mach numbers from 0.60 to 0.90 and from 1.20 to 1.90. The data presented were obtained at a Reynolds number of 3.0×10^6 . Lift, drag, pitching-moment, and hinge-moment measurements were made at constant paddle-control deflections for angles of attack from about -4° to 18° . The paddle-control deflections were varied from 4° to -16° . In some instances, the full range of

CONTENT T.

angles of attack was not obtained because of structural limitations or other difficulties.

Reduction of Data

The test data have been reduced to standard NACA coefficient form. The pitching moments were calculated about an axis at 35 percent of the mean aerodynamic chord. A complete discussion of the methods used in reducing the wind-tunnel data to coefficient form and the various corrections applied to the results may be found in reference 1 and only brief mention will be made here.

The data obtained in the Ames 6- by 6-foot supersonic wind tunnel have been corrected for the following factors:

- 1. Induced effects of the tunnel walls at subsonic speeds resulting from lift on the model.
- 2. The change in the airspeed in the vicinity of the model at subsonic speeds resulting from the constriction of the flow by the tunnel walls.
- 3. The pressure at the base of the model at supersonic and subsonic speeds being affected by the support interference. To account partially for this effect, the base pressure was measured and the drag coefficient was adjusted to correspond to that in which the base pressure would be equal to the free-stream static pressure.
- 4. The longitudinal force on the model at subsonic and supersonic speeds due to the streamwise variation of the static pressure as measured in the empty test section.

A survey of the 6- by 6-foot wind tunnel also indicated nonuniformities of the air stream in the pitch plane of the model equivalent to a stream angle of as much as 0.10° . No correction to the data was made for this effect.

Precision

The uncertainties involved in determining dynamic pressure and in measuring forces with the strain-gage balance are described in reference 3. The following table lists the uncertainty introduced into each corrected coefficient by the known uncertainties in the measurements:



Quantity	Uncertainty
Lift coefficient	±0.002
Drag coefficient	±.001
Pitching-moment coefficient	±.002
Hinge-moment coefficient	±.004
Mach number	±.01
Reynolds number	±.03 × 10 ⁶
Angle of attack	±.10 ⁰
Flap deflection angle	±.25°

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the investigation of the paddle control are presented in tabular form for the complete range of test variables in table I. The data presented in the table are for the model equipped with a paddle control on the right wing panel. For the purpose of analysis, a representative portion of the data is presented in graphical form.

Figure 2 shows the variation of the pitching-moment and the hingemoment coefficients with paddle-control deflection for given angles of attack and with angle of attack for given paddle-control deflections. Only the data for the representative Mach numbers of 0.60, 0.90, 1.30, and 1.90 are presented. The results shown in figure 2 are for deflections of the paddle control on the right wing panel. The data reveal no unusual variations of the pitching-moment and the hinge-moment coefficients with either angle of attack or angle of deflection throughout the speed range of these tests.

The pitching-moment-effectiveness parameter, C_{mg} , the hinge-moment parameters, C_{hg} and C_{hg} , and the minimum-drag coefficient of the paddle control are presented as functions of Mach number in figure 3. For purposes of comparison, the corresponding data for the unbalanced flap configuration of reference 1 are also presented in figure 3. Although data were obtained for the paddle control on only the right wing panel, the results, as presented in figure 3, are for the deflection of a control on both wing panels.

The pitching-moment effectiveness of the paddle control was less than the unbalanced flap at all speeds tested below a Mach number of 1.50; whereas, above the Mach number 1.50, the effectiveness of the two types of controls corresponded closely. The marked loss in pitching-moment effectiveness, C_{m_0} , of the paddle control from that shown for the unbalanced flap at subsonic speeds may be advantageous in reducing the sensitivity of the longitudinal control in this speed range. The reduced

Sentent District Alle

effectiveness of the paddle control at subsonic speeds is believed due to the absence of the additional lift induced on the forward portion of the wing by the hinged flap. The decrease in effectiveness exhibited by the paddle control at supersonic speeds below a Mach number of 1.50 is brought about as a result of the shock-expansion interference between the paddles and the wing. This principle has been discussed previously in reference 1 and will be only briefly related here. At negative control deflections the lower surface of the upper paddle propagates expansion waves which impinge on the wing surface. The resulting increase in lift on the wing, being of the opposite sign to that carried by the paddle due to control deflection. effects a net reduction in the lift effectiveness, CLS, of the paddle control and, thereby, the pitching-moment effectiveness of the control. The paddle mounted on the lower surface of the wing acts in an analogous manner by virtue of the compression wave emitted from its upper surface. At Mach numbers above 1.50, the paddle control was so located that the shock waves emanating from the paddles do not strike the wing surface. Therefore, at these Mach numbers, the pitching-moment effectiveness of the two types of controls corresponded closely.

The preceding discussion must be acknowledged to be a simplification of the flow phenomena involved. However, it is believed to describe the primary cause for the differences in pitching-moment effectiveness between the paddle control and the unbalanced flap.

The primary advantage of the paddle control over the flap-type control is evident in the hinge-moment characteristics. An examination of figure 3 shows that material reductions are realized for both of the hinge-moment parameters, $C_{h_{\delta}}$ and $C_{h_{\alpha}}$, from that noted for the unbalanced flap throughout the speed range investigated. Figure 3 also shows that there is little effect of Mach number on the hinge-moment parameters of the paddle control. The small values of $C_{h_{\rm CL}}$ noted for this control can be attributed primarily to the influence of the wing surface which causes the effective incidence of the paddles to be essentially the same throughout the angle-of-attack range of the tests. This influence of the wing on the paddles is consistent with the results of reference 1 which showed that the addition of a paddle balance to a conventional trailing-edge unbalanced flap had little effect on Ch_{CL} of the unbalanced control. Since this phenomenon is essentially independent of speed, Cha. is unaffected by Mach number (see fig. 3). The reduction noted in Chs was due in part to the aerodynamic balance incorporated in the paddle control. The small effect of Mach number on Cha clearly understood. It would be expected that there would be an effect of Mach number on the hinge moment due to flap deflection because of the rearward shift in the center of pressure of the load on the control surface with increasing Mach number. It is somewhat surprising that this effect is not evident in the hinge-moment results.

c



The hinge-moment advantages of the paddle control were obtained with a penalty in the drag characteristics, as shown in figure 3. The results show that the paddle control exhibited higher minimum drag coefficients than the unbalanced flap throughout the speed range tested. It is of interest to note that, though the drag increment is fairly large, considerable improvement in the drag characteristics was realized for the paddle control of the present investigation over the paddle balance of reference 1 by reducing the paddle thickness.

CONCLUSIONS

Tests were made of a model equipped with a trailing-edge paddle-control device to determine its control characteristics at subsonic and supersonic speeds. The results were compared with the control characteristics of the unbalanced, trailing-edge flap of reference 1. Examination of the results revealed the following significant features:

- 1. The pitching-moment and hinge-moment characteristics of the paddle control showed no outstanding nonlinearities for the entire speed range studied.
- 2. The paddle control exhibited a smaller control effectiveness at subsonic speeds and at supersonic speeds below a Mach number of 1.50. Above the Mach number 1.50 the effectiveness of the two types of controls corresponded closely.
- 3. The hinge-moment parameters, $C_{h_{\tilde{G}}}$ and $C_{h_{\tilde{G}}}$, of the paddle control were considerably smaller than those of the unbalanced flap and were little affected by Mach number.
- 4. The paddle control increased the minimum drag throughout the speed range tested.

Ames Aeronautical Laboratory
National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics
Moffett Field, Calif., Nov. 20, 1953



- Boyd, John W., and Pfyl, Frank A.: Experimental Investigation of Aerodynamically Balanced Trailing-Edge Control Surfaces on an Aspect Ratio 2 Triangular Wing at Subsonic and Supersonic Speeds. NACA RM A52104, 1953.
- 2. Frick, Charles W., and Olson, Robert N.: Flow Studies in the Asymmetric Adjustable Nozzle of the Ames 6- by 6-Foot Supersonic Wind Tunnel. NACA RM A9E24, 1949.
- 3. Hall, Charles F., and Heitmeyer, John C.: Aerodynamic Study of a Wing-Fuselage Combination Employing a Wing Swept Back 63°.- Characteristics at Supersonic Speeds of a Model With the Wing Twisted and Cambered for Uniform Load. NACA RM A9J24, 1950.





TABLE I.- AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF A TRIANGULAR WING EQUIPPED WITH A PADDLE CONTROL. DATA FOR ONE PADDLE CONTROL. R = 3.0×10^6 (a) Nominal δ = $+4^\circ$

¥	α	CL	CD	C _m	Ola	8.	н		C _L	C _D	Ca	c _h	8	×	-	C.	-	1 0		-
0.60	-1.16	-0.176	0.0176	0.001	-0.030	3.9	0.90	4.21	0.228		_		-	-	-	C,L	СД	0=	Ch	10
1	-2.05	082	.0119	005	035	3.8	10.90	6.34	342	0.0255	-0.029	-0.028	3.8	1.50	5.05	0.089	0.0194	-0.019	-0.030	3.0
1	-1.06	037	.0104	008	03A	3.8		8.46	.433	.0697	- 036	035	3.0		6.12	-177	.0201	034	031	3.8
ĺ	53 47	014	.0100	009	039	3.8		10.59	-539	1057	010	030	3.8		8.18	-345	.0621	060	031	3.8
1	1.00	.055	.0106	012	041	3.8	1.20	4.00				_	Ť.,		10.83	427	.0884	072	028	3.8
1	2.06	-104	.0129	015	OII	3.8	1.20	-2.03	201	.0277	-030	029	3.6	1	12.29	-506	.1199	001	024	3.8
ſ	6.26	.199	-0505	021	043	3.8		-1.00	047	.0162	.003	029	3.8	i	14.35	.582	.1572	093		3.8
	8.36	-296	.0332 .0567	027	015	3.8	1	47	023	.0157	001	030	3.8	1.70	4.07	~.156	0000			
	10.47	.395 .486	.0860	023	047	3.8	l ,	.46	-025	.0156	009	031	3.0	1~''	-2.03	078	.0257	.020	023	3.8
1	IP.56	.589	.1260	022	038	3.8		.98	.092	.016	014	031	3.0	ľ	99	039	.0158	-005	023	3.8
	14.69	.693	.1737	023	~.036	3.8		4.08	.209	.0290	023	031	3.8	ı	47	020	.0152	001	024	3.8
1	16.81	-798	-2303	023	036	3.8		6.14		.0459	059	035	3.7		.45 .98	.016	.0152	006	024	3.8
	11.01	.853	.2626	022	037	3.8		8.20	.316	.0708	076	030	5.8	, ,	5.01	.039	-0157	010		3-8
0.80	-4.19	185	.0194	.004	024	3.9		10.27	-527	-1037	092	024	3.8		4.06	159	.0279	016	025	3.8
	-2.07	064	0126	004	024	3.9	1.30	4.09	185						6.11	-237	0390	040	027	3.8
	-1.07	037	.0110	008	026	3.9		-2.03	089	.0293	.025	029	3.8		0.16	.313 .383 .453	.0772	051		3.8
	53 -48	015	.0104	009	031	3.8	- 1	-1.00	- DA	.0183	.001		3.8	i I	10.21	-,303	-0804	062		3.8
	1.01	.035	.0207	013	031	3.8		53	022	.0178	001		3.8		14.31	.522	1086	071		3.8
	2.08	.109	.0135	017	033	3.8	- 1	-45	024	.0177	009		3.8		16.37	.589	1806	086		3.6
	4.19	.211	.0219	- 025		3.8	- 1	2.02	.048	.0185	013	028	3.8	' '	- 1	1,00			030	3.0
1	6.31	-317	.0379	032		3.7		1.08	.097	0215	022		3.8	1.90	4.06	142	.0247	.017	025	3.9
ļ	8.43	.113	.0618	030	~.0hk	3.8		6.13	.290	.0461	032		3.8		-2.02	071	-0177	.007	018	3.9
- 1	12.67	616	.0947	027		3.8	- 1	8.19	.362	0685	067		3.8	1	98	036	.0156	-002		3.9
	14.80	.726	1910	037		3.8	- 1	10.25	.476	.0983	081	~026	3.6		- 1	.015	.0155	001		3.9
- 1	16.93	840	-2543	048		3.8 3.8	- 1	12.31	-567	ربا د1	~+094	023	3.8	- 1	.97	.034	.0160	008		3.9
							1.50	-∔.06	170	.0271	m	000		ľ	5.00	.071	.0178	014		3.6
0.90	4.21	198	.0226	.008		3.8		-2.03	083	.0188	.023	020	3.8 3.8		4.05	-141	.0248	024	020	3.8
	-2.09	090	.0122	002		3.9	- 1	99	041	.0164	.001		3.8	- 1	8.14	-209 -278	.036k	034		3.0
ı		013	.0116	007		3.8	[53	020	.0155	002	027	3.8	1	10.10	342	.0728 .0735	043 032		3.8
	- 23	.037	.0117	013		3.8 3.8	- 1	.98	.021	.0153	008	028	3.8	- 1	12.23	405	.0907	060		3.0
- 1	1.02	064	.0125	015	027	3.8	f	.90	.044	.0163	-*015	029	3.8		14.27	466	.1286	066	025	3.8
	2.09	.117	.0185	019		3.8	- 1								16.32	-527	-1631	071	028	8.8
						_									17.35	-559	.1826	072	032	3.8

(b) Nominal $\delta = 0^{\circ}$

K	α	O _L	C _D	l C _{me}	C _h	8	K	a	c_{L}		, 7x/3		_	_	-		- 35			4
.60	-4.16	0.100	2 0001		+	+		_	or_	C _D	C _m	C.	8	×	-	C _L	c _D	C _m	C	Г
	2.07	-0.195 103	0.0184	0.011	-0.004		0.90		0.199	0.0217	-0.017	-0.003	0	1.50	4.07	0.166	0.0064			+-
	~.46	~-035	-0117	-006	006		1	6.31	-306	-038e	024	009	1	1	6.12			-0.027	-0.002	10
	.45		-0090	-005	008		ľ	8.43	. pos	.0632	024	005	۔ ا		8.17	251	-0402	~-040	003	10
	.98	-007	-0088	001	009			10.56	-510	.0984	031	002	l ŏ	ı		-336	-0600	053	003	0
	2.0	.031	.0092	~.002	~.010	0	1	1				002	١ ٠	ı	10.23	-119	-0940	066	004	10
		-077	-0107	005	011	0	1.20	-4.09	215	*B90+	-038	-009	١.	Į.	12.29	-498	.1170	077	003	10
	1.14	.172	.0170	010	013	1		-2.03	110	.0189	.019	.006	1		14.34	-575	-1550	087	-001	łο
	6.24	.270	.0301	017	016	11	l	-1.00	- 029	0158	.010		0							ŀ
	8.34	-368	-0511	019	012	1		-, 47	033	.0151	.006	-006	0	1.70	4.07	364	.025k	.026	-00h	ŀο
	10.44	459	0795	015	009	0		45	.013			-003	0		-2.02	085	-0173	.013	-003	٥
	12.55	.567	-1194	016	- 007	15 1		-98	.040	-0150	008	-001	0		99	046	.0172	-007	.00e	Ĭŏ
	14.66	-663	16/12	~.018	005	l o l		2.02		0157	007	001	0		47	026	.0145	-00h	.001	١ŏ
	16.77	.T70	.2198	018	006	l o	, ,	4.08	-092	-0181	015	001	0	i I	. 19	-011	.0144	000	1007	١,
	17.83	.610	.2494	~.018	008				-196	-0272	~.033	003	0		.97	.031	0249	005	.006	هٔ ا
					00	i" I	1	6.14	- 305	.0437	051	004	0		2.01	.071	.0169	011	0	٥
BO	-4.19	205	-0201	.025	.001			6.20	407	-0679	068	-003	0		4.07	.150	.0245	023	-,001	-
	-2.09	109	.0101	700	-,003	0 .	! !	10.27	-513	.1001	064	.005	0	[6.12	2é6	0370	035	002	! 0
- 1	-1.02	059	.0097	-004		0		. 1				-	- 1		8.17	306	.0550	046		0
- 1	- 99	034	.0091		- 004	0	1.30	→.09	196	.0298	.032	.001	0	- 1	10.22	.376	.0777		004	0
. !	.45	.010	0089	.008	004	0	1	-0.03	102	-0206	.016	-004	ō.	- 1	12.27	.447	1058	057	005	0
ſ	99	-034	.0094	001	005	0		-1.00	~-075	-0180	-009	.003	ō		14.32	216		~.066	006	0
- 1	2.06	.083		003	005	0		~.47	031	.0174	.005	-003	ŏ	- 1	16.38	562	-1393	075	007	-
- 1	4.17			006	006	0	f	-451	.012	-0171	002	.003	ŏ	- 1	20.50	.502	.1773	081	orr	-
- 1	6.28	.185	-0190	014	009	0	- 1	-981	-036	.0178	006	.003		1.90	1 00	11.4				
- 1	8.40	290	-0336	022	015	1	- 1	2.02	-085	-0219	014	.001	ŏ	*.50	-4.06	149	8490	.082	-003	0
- 1		388	-0578	021	014	1	1	4.07	181	.0288	030	.003	ŏ	- 1	-2.02	077	.0174	.011	-002	0
- [10.51	.475	0696	019	015	1	1	6.13	-278	-0438	014	.006	ŏ	- 1	99	042	-0157	.006	.002	0
- 1	18-63	695	-1321	030	022	1	- 1	8.19	371	.0657	059	-005	ő	- 1		025	-0151	-00*	.002	0
- [14.76	695	-1816	034	022	1	- I	10.25	464	.0948	073	.004		- 1	-42	-008	0150	001	-001	0
- 1	16.89	-808	-2426	.042]	023	1		12.31		1304	087	.005	0.	- 1	-97	026	.0154	004	-002	۰
- 1	17.95	-862	-2760	.047	026	ī		14.37	:273	1727	099		0	- 1	2.01	-064	.0170	010	0	o
. 1			,		- 1		- 1		.011	.TIE!		-003	۰	1	4.05	-135	-0237	020	001	ō
١,	-4-22	221	.0232	.018	1007	0	1.50	4.08	~.181	.0272					6.10	-201	-0350	030		ŏ
- 1	-6.10	111	.0135	.008	.003	ŏ		-2.02	~.093	.0185	-029	.006	0	- 1	8.14	.273	.0511	- 039		ŏ
- 1	-1.03	060	.0110	-004		ŏ	- f	99	019		-015	.004	0		10.19	-338	.0714	048		ŏ
-	49	- 035	.0103	.000	ă l	ŏ	- 1	-37		0159	.006	-003	0		12.23	-401	.0962	056		ă
	.46	.011		001	- 1	ŏ	- 1		027	-01/6	.005	.003	0	J	14.26	.462	1258	062		٠.
- 1	.99	+037		003		ŏ	- 1	-45	-012	-0146	002		0	- 1	16.33	- 224	1606	067		-:
- 1	2.07	090		008		ŏ		-97	.034	-0156	006	-001	0		17.36	556	1801	069		
-				00		_	1	5.05	.078	.0186	013	0	0	- 1						3



TABLE I.- AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF A TRIANGULAR WING EQUIPPED WITH A PADDLE CONTROL. DATA FOR ONE PADDLE CONTROL. R = 3.0×10^8 - Continued

(c) Nominal $\delta = -4^0$

0.60		ᇈ	C _D	Ga.	OF.	0	×	•	c _T	c _D	Ge .	c. π	5	н	Œ	C.	°b	C _{BR}	cP.	8
~~~	-4.18	-0.219	0.0212	0.022	0.030	-	0.90	4.18	0.179	0.0212	-0-006	0.023	4.1	1.50	2-04	0.069	0.0285	-0.006	0.028	-4.0
	-2.09	127	.0137	.016	.029	-4.1		6.31	.205	.0372	010	.024	+.1		+-09	-158	-0262	020	-026	-4.0
	-1.03 [	080	.0113	-014	.026	-4.I		8.43	.386	.0619	015	.028	+.0		6.15	-244	-0396	034	-026	-4.0
	~-50 Lig	058	.0305	.013	.028	4.1		10.58	-533	.1029		.026	<b>-</b> ₩.0	1 1	8.20	327	-0590	047	.026	-4.a
1	.49	014	,	-010	-027	-4.1		ا ۔ ۔ا		_					10.27	-120	.0851	079	-025	1.0
	3.02	.010	.0106	-009	-027	-4-1	1.20	-4.08	229	-0302	.046	-030		l I	12-33	.129	-1161	070	-024	-4.0
	8.06	.057	-0114	.007	-026	-4.1		-2.03	124	-0197	-027	.026	<b>→.</b> 0	1	14-39	-567	-1531	061	-090	1-4-0
	4.21	.152	.0169	-001	-023	-4-1		-1-00	072	.0169	-019	.025	-4.0	1						t
	6.25	.272	-0264	~.005	-020	-4.1		47	046	.0160	.014	.027	40	1.70	-4.07	171	-0268	.031	-027	] <del>-4</del> .0
	8.35	-350	.0505	009	-025	-4.1		-71	.003	01.56	-006	-027	-4.0		-2.02	092	-0184	-019	-026	-4.0
	10.47	.457 .545	.0807	010	.024	~ <b>4.1</b>		1.05	.029	.0161	*00I	.026	-4.0		-1-00	~-050	-0191	.012	-025	-4.0
	12.56	-545	.1160	008	-025	-4.1	1 1	2.04	.078	.0162	007	.026	-4-0		47	032	-0155	-009	-025	- <b>+.</b> 0
	14.69	.696	.1637	011	-027	-4.1		4.30	-168	.0269	026	.025	-4-0		.51	-006	-01.52	-003	-025	-4.0
- 1	16.81	.762	.2199	012	.027	-4-1		6.15	.268	.0126	043	-026	-4.0		1.05	-025	01.56	0	.025	-4.0
	17.86	-812	.2489	011	.027	-4.1		8-21	-393 -490	.0664	061	-03I	+.0		2.03	.065	-0174	006	-05/	-4-0
- 0-	ا ا				-1-	٠.		10.30	-490	.0986	076	-032	+.0		4.06	.143	-0246	~-018	-023	-4-0
0.80	4.21	- 229	.0230	-026	-040	-4-0		12.37	-615	-1397	~.096	.035			6.13	-290	0369	030	-023	-4-0
- 1	-6-10	128	-01/10	-018	-037	40		3.00	200					1	8.18	-296	-0348	041	.022	-4-0
- 1	-2.04	079	-0115	.015	و35	-4-0	1-30	-4.08	209	-0315	.040	.028	4.0		10-23	-368 -439	-0771	~-051	-021	-1.0
- 1	1	055	.0101	-013	-034	1.0		-2.03	065	-0186	-024	-027	0		12.30 14.35	• 439	-1051	061	-020	-1.0
- 1	1.03	009	4010	.000	-032	4.0	i 1	47	042	-0160	-012	-027	7.0	. 1	16. 1	-507	.1361	069	-018	-4-1
	2.03	.064	-0118	-005	-031	4.0			042	-0176	-005	.026	1.0		17.43	-573 -600	-1756	~.076	-013	-4-1
	1.16	165	-0186	002	-029	-4.I	1	1.05	-027	-0102	-002	.029	1.0		11.+3	.000	*7340	~-079	-009	
- 1	6.26	-270	.0325	010	-023	4.1	1	2.04	.074	.0204	006	.029	+.0	1.90	-4.06	154	anen l	-026	.021	-4-0
	8.39	-369	0772	011	.020	-1-1		4.09	.169	.0295	022	-028	-1.0	1.50	-6.05	083	.0259	-015	-020	3.0
	10.51	. 468	0870	012	.020	4.1	1	6.15	266	.0132	036	-030	-4.0			047	.0164	.010	-020	1.0
- 1	12.63	.574	.1283	022	.019	4.1	1	8.20	-359	.C617	020	-034	-4.0	' i	99	029	0159	-007	-020	4.0
	14.77	-683	.1787	027	.020	4.1		10.28	150	-0935	066	-033	-4.0	l l	-51	.005	-0157	-003	-019	7.0
	16.89	-793	2389	035	-022	-1.1		12.34	150	.1269	050	.031	-4.0	1	1.05	-022	-0160	مس	-019	4.1
	17.96	.872	2736	06	-016	41		14.40	-632	.1708	093	.027	1.0	1	2.03	.058	-0175	005	-019	1.1
- 1	-(-,-	~~	-2,50	1			- 1	24010			053	~~'/		ı	4.07	.120	-0240	015	.02.º	-1-1
0.90	-1-23	247	.0268	.032	.031	-4.0	1.50	-4.c8	189	-0268	.036	-031	4.0		6.12	-196	-0349	025	an.	4.1
,0	-6.11	-136	.0160	.021	-026	4.0		2.02	-109	-0198	-022	.030	4.0	; Į	8.17	-266	0708	034	-017	-4.1
- 1	-1.05	005	.0130	-017	-025	4.0	ľ		057	-0171	.014	.029	4.0	i	10.21	-331	.oni	043	.016	-4.1
	51	056	.0119	015	.024	-4-1	I	99	036	.0158	.011	.029	4.0	1	12.26	303	.0957	050	.015	-1-1
	.50	010	.0113	-010	.024	4.1	- 1	52	-006	0153	-004	.029	-1.0	- 1	14.32	393	.1254	- 057	.013	-4.1
Į	1.14	ora	.0117	-008	.023	-1.1		-83	.027	-0161	.001	.02É	-1.0		16.38	.16	1597	061	-009	-4.1
- 1	2.06	-070	0248	-004	.022	4.3	- (							1	17.41	500	.1793	063	.009	4.1

(d) Nominal  $\delta = -8^{\circ}$ 

ж	a	C,T	C _D	C.	c _k	8	×	α	c _L	C _D	CR	C.P.	8	М	α	Cr.	S	Cag	$c_{\mathbf{h}}$	8
-60	4.18	-0.227	0.0185	0.027	Q.C\5	-8-0	0.90	4.17	0.160	0.0932	400.0	0.097	-7.9	1.50	4.08	0.147	0-0272	-0.0I.k	0.072	-7.6
	-2.09	133	-0155	-021	.044	-8.0		6.30	.268	-0361	~-003	.078	-7-9		6.13	-235	4040	028	-073	-7.6
1	-1.0	086	.0126	-വ8	.044	-8-0		8.12	-375	-0526	~.006	-061	-7-9		8-19	301 401	-0596	OAI	-078	-7.6
1	51	063	-0191	-017	.044	-8.0		10.57	.465	-0970	~-016	-058	-7-9		10.24	101	·0849	054	-063	-7-7
	.49	021	-OIL	-015	.cte	-8.1			١. ١						12.29	-483	1159	06T	-068	-7.6
[ ]	1.02	-003	.0117	-01/4	.042	-8.1	1.20	-4.09	- 212	-0330	-073	-062	-7.6		14.35	562	1,28	010	-06	-7-7
	2.08	-049	-0130	•012	.042	-8.1		-2-03	135	-0221	-034	.080	-7.6	1	16.40	-636	-1949	068	-079	-7-7
	4.13	.142	-0178	-005	.G41	-8.1	1	10	053	-0190	-025	.062	-7.6							l
	6.22	.241	-0292	-00I	.042	-8.1		~.48	058	-0181	.021	.061	-7.6	1.70	-4-07	180	-0290	-037	-064	-7-7
	8.33	.342	-0503	003	-015	-8.0		-51	-009	0175	.013	-079	-7-6		-5-05	101	-0203	-024	.063	-7-7
١ ١	10.43	-436	.0795	0	.015	-8.0		1.04	.018	-0179	.008	-078	-7.6		- 99	061	-0177	.018	.062 .061	-7.7
	12.54	.540	.1174	001	-045	-8-0		2.03	.068	*0515	0	-077	-7.6			042	.0168 -0164	-015		-7-7
	14.65	.646	.1635	004	-047	-8.0		4.06	-172	-026I	~.018	.076	-7.6		.51	004	.0168	-009	.061	-7-7
	26.79	∙739	.2212	005	-0-9	-8-0		6.15	.279	•0438	~.036	-गा	-7.6		1.03	-OIB		-005	.060	-7-7
	17.83	-794	-2490	005	-045	-8.0		8.21	-383	-06T3	054	-081	-7.6		5.05	-05/	-0196	001	-060	-7-7
_		1.		1				10.27	189	-0968	~-069	-081	-7.6		4.07	.134	0255	013	-060	-7-7
0.80	-4.21	240	-0166	-031	-054	-8-0		12.34	-607	-1395	~-090	.081	-7.6		6.18	-211	-0375	- 025		-7.7
	-2.10	140	-0164	-024	-072	-8.0					-1-	-0-			8-17	-266	-0548	036 046	-058 -058	-7-7
	-1.05	091	-0135	-021	.052	-8.0	1.30	-4.08	221	-0343	-047	.083	-7.6		10.21	-358 -429	-1042			-7-7
1	- 51	066	.0127	-019	-051	-5.0		-2.02	183	-024Z	-033	-079	-7.6		12.26	. 198	136	056	.037 048	-7.8 -7.8
	-49	023	-0118	-016	.051	-8.0		-1.00	075	.0211	-022	-061	-7.6		16.37	565	1742	065	-050	-7.8
'	1.02		-0150	-015	-051	-8-0		51	- 002	-0202	-019	-019	-7.6		17.16	.600	1949	075	-046	-7.8
	2.09	-051	Į	-018	.071	-8.0		51	~.006	-0195	.032	.076	-7.6		Tiven	.000	1 -1949	015	-040	-1-0
	1.15	-150	1	-062	-071	-8-0		1.04	-018	-0201		-076	-7.6	1.90	-4.06	161	-0276	-030	.056	-7.8
	6.27	-277	-0326	002	-051	-8.0	l i	5-03	.066 .160	-0221	0	-075	-7.6	1.50	-8.01	090	.0196	-080	-055	-7.8
	8.39	354	-0547	002	.052	-8.0		6.14	.257	-0299 -0442	016	-014	-7.7 -7.6		99	054	.0178	.02	.055	-7.8
	10.50	-440	1260	002	-055	-7.9		8.20	.52	.0656	047	-076	-7.6		- 37	036	.0171	.012	.055	-7.8
		-53		-014	.021	-7-9 -8-0		10.26	77	.0941	062	.073	-7.7		, n	003	-0168	-007	-054	-7.8
	14.75	.675	-1770	026	.051	-8.0		12.32	-537	.1291	075	-073	-7.6		1.03	-017	-0170	.004	-05	-7.8
		.843	-2372	034	.041	-8.0		14.38	-625	1704	088	-068	-7.7		2.01	-051	-0193	001	054	-7.6
	17.94	.0+3	1 +5100	~-034	*0~1	-0.0	1 I	14.30	.00	*TIO+	000	*****	-101		4-06	151	-0245	011	054	-7.8
0.90	-k-2k	263	-0308	.oto	.058	-7-9	1.50	4.07	202	-0321	.043	.078	-7.6	1	6.10	-190	-0351	021	-053	-7.8
0.90	-1.99		.0160	.040	-059	-7.9	1.00	-2.02	111	.0250	.028	.076	-7.6		8.14	200	0505	031	.052	-7.8
	-1.06	1555	.0161	.026	-061	-7.9			068	-0286	.023	-075	-7.6		10.19	-325	.0708	039	.051	-7.8
		075	.0150	.023	.062	-7.9	ı	99 47	047	.0174	810.	.075	-7.6	ļ.	12.23	385	0943	046	-050	-7.8
	- 53	026	-0139	-020	-061	-7.9	l i	.51	007	0170	-071	-074	-7.6	i .	14.26	.385 .446	1931	052	.048	-7.8
	1.02	002	.0142	-018	.061	-7.9	1 1	1.04	-017	.0177	.008	-074	-7.6		16.33	-506	1566	057	.048	-7.9
	2.10	.052	-0142	.014	.058	-7.9		2-02	.060	9610	.000	-073	-7.6		17.36	-539	.1760	- 079	.041	-7.9
	2.10	1.00		1.01+		-1.5			-000			-913			1-:					



TABLE I.- AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF A TRIANGULAR WING EQUIPPED WITH A PADDLE CONTROL. DATA FOR ONE PADDLE CONTROL.  $R = 3.0 \times 10^6$  - Concluded

(e) Nominal  $\delta = -12^0$ 

ĸ	α	O _L	C _D	C _R	Ch	8	N	α	C _L	G _D	C _{pt}	Ch	8	ж	a	G _L	O _D	C _m	Ch.	8
0.60	-4-16	-0.226	0.0270	0.027	0.060	-12.0	0.90	4.17	0.158	0.0256	0.006	0.074	-11.8	1.50	2.08	0.054	0.0235	0-005	0.091	-11.6
	-2.09	136	-0191	.027	.058	-12-0		6.30	-265	.0411	000	-084	-11.0		4.00	-139	-0306	009	.087	-11.6
	-1.0	089	.0165	-018	.07!	-12.0	1	8.43	.368	-0654	003	.091	-11.7	1	6.13	-896	-0434	023	.024	-11.6
	51	066	-0256	-017	-057	-12.0		10-55	.473	.0965	010	-092	-11.7	1	8.19	-312	-0625	036	.084	-11.6
	.48	026	84IO-	-016	-057	-12.0							1	,	20.24	.394	-0869	~-050	.079	-11.7
	1.01	003	.0149	.015	056	-12.0	1.20	-1.08	250	-0369	.079	-100	-11.6	1	12.29	.475	.1169	063	-072	-11.7
	2.07	-044	-0160	-013	-056	-12.1		-2.22	110	-0258	-040	.101	-11.6		14.35	-553	.1532	075	.067	-11.6
	1.12	·133	-0204	-099	-054	-12.1		10	091	.0227	-032	-103	-11.5	1	16.41	.606	.1950	085	.062	-11.6
- 1	6.28	.231	-0309	-004	-074	-12,1		48	066	.0217	.027	.102	-11-5							
1	8.32	.330	-0500	-002	-054	-12.1		-51	019	.0209	-019	-101	-11.6	1.70	₩.06	186	-0321	.042	-093	-11.5
	10:43	.424	-0773	-005	-054	-12.1		1.04	-010	.021.2	-014	-100	-11.6		-2.01	106	-0232	-030	-092	-11.6
	12.54	.526	-1159	-004	-054	-12.1	1	2,03	-060	-0230	-006	-099	-11.6		99	067	-0205	-023	-091	-11-6
	14.65	-630	.1609	*00T	-057	-12.0	1	4-09	.162	.0308	012	-099	-11.6	1	47	047	-0197	-020	-090	-11.6
	16.76	-732	.2133	0	.058	-15-0		6.15	.268	.0459	030	.101	-11.6		-51	011	-0192	-014	.090	-21.6
	17.82	.789	.2446	0	.057	-12.0	1 1	8.21	.372 .479	.0695	047	-104	-11-5	[	1.04	.வ.	-0195	.011	-009	-11.6
			1	]			l i	10.26	. 479	.1001	063	-104	-11.5		2.00	.050	.0212	-005	.089	-11.6
0.80	-4.22	242	-0293	-033	-062	-12.0		12.35	. 598	-1406	054	-100	-11.6		4.75	.127	-0276	007	.069	-11.6
1	-2.11	143	-0196	.025	-060	-12.0	1 3				1 1			1	6.13	.204	.0394	019	.088	-11-6
- 1	-1.05	093	-0163	,022	-059	-12.0	1.30	-4.08	230	-0384	.053	.094	-11.6		0.18	.261	.0564	~.030	.086	-11.6
- 1	- 52	068	.0158	-020	-050	-18-0		-2.21	130	.0279	-036	.094	-11.6		10.23	.352	-0784	041	.067	-11.6
- 1		025	0150	.018	058	-12.0		99	082	-0249	.026	.096	-11.6		12.26	.425	-1059	07e	-084	-11.6
- 1	1.02	002	-0151	-016	-057	-12.0		48	058	-0236	-024	.095	-11.6		14.33	199	1362	061	-077	-11.7
ı	2.09	048	-0165	-014	-057	-38-0		-51	014	.0230	.016	-093	-11.6	1 1	16.39	.563	.1759	069	.071	-11.7
- 1	1.15	-144	-0550	-008	096	-12-0	1	1.04	-011	.0234	.022	.092	-11.6		17.41	-799	-1973	073	-069	-11-7
- 1	6.27	-248	-0351	*00T	-057	-12.0	. E	2.03	-059	-0253	-00k	.091	-11.6				[		- 1	
- I	8.38	.342	0561	،003	-062	-12.0	i 1	4.08	.154	-0326 -0468	012	-087	-11.6	1.90	4.05	166	-0347	-035	.085	-11.7
	10-50	-438	-0857	-004	.067	-11.9	1	6.14	-270	-0468	026	.086	-11.6	!!	-8-07	094	-0226	.024	-064	-11.7
- 1	12.62	-949	-1257	008	-065	-11.9	l f	8.20	343	-0678	042	.067	-11.6		99	~-059	-020 ¹	.019	-083	-11.7
	14.75	-661	1756	015	-059	-11.9		10.26	- 436	0956	077	-005	-11.7	li	47	OU	.0198	ا مُده،	.003	-12.7
	16-87	.766	.2327	02I	.071	-11.9		12.32	- 529	-1300	071	.083	-11.7	, ,	-51	009	-0193	-011	-063	-11.7
- 1	17.93	.820	-2650	026	.068	-31.9	1	14.30	-618	-1712	~-08k	.078	-11.7		1.03	.000	-0194	.009	-082	-11.7
- 1						. 1	. [	. [	- 1						2.01	.046	0207	.004 [	-06₽	-11.7
0.90	4.24	263	0338 و	-040 l	-062	-11.0	1.50	-t.07	208	-0348	.019	-086	-11.6		4.06	.119	-0260	007	-068	-11.7
- 1	-2.06	108	-0205	-033	.078	-11.0		-2.02	120	-0252	P034	.087	-11.6		6.10	-184	-0374	m6	-066	-11.7
	-1.06	098	.0190	.025	-060	-17.6		99	077	0220	.027	.087	-11.6	1	8.15	.253	0.728	026	-060	-11.7
- 1	. 49	026	.0168	•020	-077	-11.8		47	055	•0208	-024	.086	-11.6		10-19	.320	-0728	034	-079	-11.7
i	1.03	.001	-0170	-010	076	-11.0	ı	-51	015	-0203	-017	.092	-11.6	1	12.24	.360	-0962	041	-077	-11-7
	2.10	-053	.0186	-014	-078	-11.8	- 1	1.01	-008	.0230	-013	-092	-11.6	l i	14.26	.440	.1243	OH7	-060	-11.8
- 1							- 1	1		i					16.33	.502	1579	053	-06k	11.0
- 1	1	1	- 1				- 1	1	- 1			- 1	1	)	17.36	-53k	-1774	058	-065	-11.6
																				_

(f) Nominal  $\delta = -16^{\circ}$ 

M	α	O _L	c _D	O _M	C ₂	8	Ж	«	c _L	<b>G</b> ₀	٠,	Ch		M	4	C _L	C _D	C _m	G _b	8
0.60	-4.18	-0.224	0.0310	0.025	0.067	-16.0	0.90	6.30	0.259	0.0460	0.002	0.115	-15-7	1.50	4.08	0.133	0.0341	-0.005	0.000	1
0.00	-2.09	- 130	.0230	-020	.066	-16-0	J 0.50	8.4	-359	-0694	-001	.120	-15.6	1.00	6.14	.219	-0-65	019	-099	-15. -15.
	-1.04	087	-0205	.018	.066	-16.0		10.54	466	.1022	007	.121	-15.6		8.19	-306	.0650	- 033	-090	-15.
		064	.0198	-017	.066	-16.0	1				1		12,.0		10.24	.309	.0092	032	-092	-15.6
	-:끊	022	.0180	-015	-065	-16.0	1.20	4.08	259	-04e0	-064	-117	-15.4		12.30	. 71	.1192	060	.098	-15.6
	1.02	.003	-0189	.014	.064	-16.0		-2.02	140	0309	044	.117	-15.4		14.35	.501	-1557	072	063	-25.6
	2.08	049	.0201	-012	.063	-16.0	ľ	99	096	-0276	-035	.119	-15.4							1
	4.12	.136	.021/5	.009	.063	-16.0		40	070	-0266	.031	.119	-15.4	1.70	-4.06	195	-0363	.oke	-090	-15.6
	6.22	.232	.0348	.004	.066	-16.0		-51	024	0256	-023	.116	-15.4		-2.01	117	-0271	-035	.089	-15.6
	8.33	-329	-0539	•002	.069	-16.0		1.04	-004	-0260	.018	.117	-15.4		99	077	44501	+069	.089	-15.6
	10.42	.420	-0800	-006	-072	-16.0	1	2.09	.056	.0276	-010	.117	-15.4		-,47	058	.0235	-026	.089	-15.6
	12.54	.526	.1175	-005	.073	-16.0		4.09	-156	-0351	~.00€	-118	-15.4		.51	021	.0227	-020	.088	-15.6
	14.64	627	.1630	-003	.076	-16.0		6.15	.262	-0500	026	.119	-15.4		1.03	.001	.0229	-017	-088	-15.6
	16.76	.732	-2179	.003	-0T7	-16.0		8.21	-367	•0730	043	.122	-15.4		2.05	.011	.0244	·ato	-068	-15.6
	17.82	.782	2470	•003	.076	-16.0		10.26	367	.1032	058	.119	-15.4		4.06	-093	.0291	-002	-057	-15.6
								12.35	-595	.1438	080	-114	-15.5	1 1	6.12	-196	0132	015	.009	-15.6
0.80	-4.21	236	-0333	.029	.072	-15.9									8.17	-274	-0597	027	-066	-15.6
	-2.10	135	-0240	.021	.071	-15.9	1.30	-1.07	235	.0420	-059	.106	-15.5		10.22	.346 .428	1000	039	.080	-15.7
	-1.05	087	.0211	.018	-071	-15.9		-2.02	130	-0323	-059	-108	-15.5		12.27	-428	.1069	- 039	.075	-15.7
	51	063	.0202	.017	.071	-15.9		99	090	.0292	-033	.112	-25.5		14.32	.488	.1389	059	-073	-15.7
	.49	020	.0194	.014	-070	-15.9		48	068	.0261	-030	.112	-15.5		16.30	-558	.1766	067	.069	-25.6
	1.02	-005	.0196	.013	.070	15.9		- 51	022	-0272	-022	.110	-15.5	1 1	17.41	- 593	.1978	071	-067	-15.8
	2.10	.054	•0209	-010	.069	-15.9		1.04	.004	-0274	018	-109	-15.5	1	ĺ					
	4.16	.150	.0267	-004	.067	-15.9		2.09	.054	-0291	-009	106	-15-5	1.90	-4.06	~-175	.0315	-039	.080	-15.7
	6,27	-253	.0401	003	-069	-15.9		4.09	.347	-0363	007	،107	-15.5		-6.01	103	-0266	-029	-060	-15.7
- 1	8.36	-253 343	.0605	.001	.071	-15.9		6.14	.244	-0701	024	.107	-15.5	1	- 99	069	±0236	-024	-079	-15.7
	10.50	.431	.0885	.006	-081	-15.8		8.20	-338	-0709	039	.107	-15.5	l i		050	.0231	.021	.079	-15.7
	12.62	.548	.1297	007	.078	-15.9	- 1	10.26	. 338 . 435	-0986	054	.106	-15.5		.51	017	-0825	-026	.079	-15.7
l	14.75	-657	.1781	013	-064	-25.8		12.32	-525 (	-1325	068	.103	-15.5		1.03	4002	-0286	-013	.070	-15.7
- 1	16.87	.762	-2355	018	-091	-15.8		14.38	.617	.1741	÷.08≥	.096	-15.6	1	2.07	-039	.0236	-005	.078	-15.7
- 1							i				- 1	- 1			4-06 (	BOL	-0295	002	.076	-15.7
o•90	-4.24	267	·0394	.043	-106	-15.7	1.50	-4.07	214	-0400	-053	.097	-15.5		6.11	112	-0396	012	-078	-15.7
- 1	-2.12	157	.0279	.032	-103	-15.7		-2.02	125	-0301	-036	<b>.098</b>	-15.5		8.15		-0543	021	-076	-15.7
- {	-1.06	104	.0243	.027	-103	-15.7	l	99	081	-0270	.031	.100	-15.5	1	~					
	- 53	079	.0232	-025	-102	-15.71		47	062	-0257	-028	.100	-15.5		12.27	371	-0961	037	-065	-15-6
- 1		032	.0221	.022	-101	-15-7	- 1	-52	023	-0248	.021	.099	-15.5		14.29	- 73	.1279	045	.062	-15.8
ľ	1.02	007	.0222	-020	-100	-15.7	ĺ	1.03	-002	-025k	-017	-100	-15.5		16.35	-197	.1596	050	.058	-15.8
	2.10	-047	.0236	.017	-100	-15.7	- 1	8.08	-047	-0293	-097	.101.	-15.5		17-37	-529	.1790	053	.050	-15.8
- 1	4.17	-153	.0274	.008	-101	-15.7	ı	1					- 1	l l		- 1	- 1			



Figure I.- Dimensional sketch of model.




Figure 2.- The variation of the pitching-moment and the hinge-moment coefficients with paddle-control deflection and with angle of attack. Data for one paddle control.  $R=3.0 \times 10^6$ .




Figure 2.- Continued,




Figure 2.- Continued.




Figure 2.- Concluded.




Figure 3.-Variation with Mach number of the pitching-moment-effectiveness parameter,  $C_{m_8}$ , the hinge-moment parameters,  $C_{h_8}$ , and  $C_{h_4}$ , and the minimum drag coefficient,  $C_{D_o}$ , for the unbalanced flap and the paddle-control configurations. Data for two flaps.

3 1176 01434 7927

.